1. Will the District consider a geotechnical engineering firm who is approved by DSA (participates in LEA program) and has extensive Southern California school experience (over 80 investigation and construction-phase projects in the last 3 years) but who does not provide materials testing services (outside of soils)?
   - The intent of the RFQ is to create a short list of firms that can provide all services including materials testing. You are welcome to submit but your submission may not meet all the qualifications the districts is try to achieve though this process.

2. Would the District consider extending the proposal deadline to ensure proposals can be provided in the correct format since questions regarding clarification for the Response Format will be answered shortly before the submital deadline?
   - Per the RFP, under Instructions and Conditions, Section 3. Explanations to Offerors: “Answers to all questions will be posted by May 17, 2021.” Submittals are due two days later on May 19th, 2021.
   - The proposal may be extended bases on the number of questions received up to the deadline date to allow for additional time to responds. At this time the proposal due date is not extended. If an extension is required, an Addendum will be issued.

3. Section 3.2.2. under Section 3 – Experience in the RFP states, “Project Listing. List all K-12 school projects that firm has worked on within the last three (3) years.”

   However, Attachment E – Project Reference Form states. “Provide information for the past five (5) years for contracts that your firm has completed, or has in progress, which most closely represents the services requested in this RFQ.” Considering the common criteria in both sections, we have the following questions:

   • Question 1: Is Attachment E intended to be a separate requirement due to the differentiations of the response criteria being requested – such as the difference of years, the cost breakdown, and no criteria of K-12 school projects?
     - Attachment E should include projects outside of the K-12 projects those requested in Section 3. Projects can be K-12, College/University or Corporate Private.

   • Question 2: If they are separate requirements, could the District clarify where Attachment E should be provided in the Response Format?
     - Attachment E can be included in section 3.7
     - Would the District prefer Attachment E to be provided directly following Section 3.2.2. Project Listing, or in Section 3.7 “Other Forms”?
       - It can be included in Section 3.7

   • Question 3: If they are not separate requirements, could the District clarify which criteria should be followed in the Response Format – either the criteria set forth in Section 3.2.2. Project Listing, or the criteria set forth in Attachment E – Project Reference Form?
     - Please provide information as requested in both section 3.2.2 and Attachment E as described in question # 1

4. The following Attachments are not listed under Section 3. Response Format of Attachment A: Scope of Services and Proposal Requirements, but are provided as part of the RFP:
   - Attachment B: Certification – Request for Qualifications
   - Attachment C: Statement of Experience and Financial Condition
- Attachment D: Answer the Following Questions
- Attachment F: Statement of Non-Conflict of Interest

- Are all of these forms presented in the RFP required to be returned in our submittal as only some of them, such as Attachment G: Firm Proposal / Offer Form, specifically state they are required to be submitted with our response? If they are required, would the District prefer them to be presented in Section 3.7 “Other Forms” of the Response Format?
  - All attachment forms should be completed and included with the submission, the can be included as part of Section 3.7